

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignuia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/21/2001 JSF35.017 4472 09/960,714 Larry Routhenstein 09/22/2003 7590 LAW OFFICES OF ROY ANDERSON EXAMINER 1010 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE NOWLIN, APRIL A GLENDALE, CA 91202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2876

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary),714	ROUTHENSTEIN	ET AL.	
		ner	Art Unit		
		Nowlin	2876		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMING. - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this consistency of the period for reply specified above is less than thirm of the period for reply is specified above, the maximumal of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three mone earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply ar eply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) do d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered time m the mailing date of this o IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
·	ho application				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-16</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	androi electio	птецинентент.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)☐ accepted or b	objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Int * See the attached detailed Office and 	ernational Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revieu 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	w (PTO-948) 9) Paper No(s) <u>3/13/03</u> .		nry (PTO-413) Paper No I Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 09/960,714

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/960,715. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2876

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 4-5 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/960,715. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present application and the copending application discloses a method for providing one or more secure transactions between a first entity and at least one additional entity. However, Application No. 09/960,715 fails to teach or fairly suggest wherein the secure card number is readable by a magnetic card reader and wherein the secure card number is readable from either track 1 or track 2 of the magnetic card. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a secure card number that is readable from either track 1 or track 2 of the magnetic card by a magnetic card reader in order to provide a card that is readable by conventional magnetic card reader that exist in retail stores.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,714 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or fairly suggest a method for providing one or more secure transactions between a first entity and at least one additional entity, comprising the steps of using an electronic card to generate a secure card number wherein the secure card number is comprised of, among other things, a Transaction Information Block (TIB), wherein the TIB is used by the money source to determine which of plurality of account numbers associated with the first entity should be used for the first transaction; and wherein the TIB is used by the money source to determine whether the device which generated the secure card number has changed status condition.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wong (US 6,607,127) discloses an electronic device used in the processing of financial and security smart card transactions using the existing magnetic card storage medium processing infrastructure; and Fak et al (US 4,214,230) discloses a personal identification system.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April A. Nowlin whose telephone number is (703) 605-

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/960,714

Art Unit: 2876

, Control Hamber: Corceo,

1219. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [april.nowlin@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

September 8, 2003

THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER